

SECOND GENERATION BIOETHANOL FROM Eucalyptus globulus labill AND Nothofagus pumilio USING IONIC LIQUIDS.

María Cristina Ravanal E.

Centro de Biotecnología y Bioingeniería Universidad de Chile

mravanal@ing.uchile.cl













Biomass

UNIVERSIDAD DE CHILE

Bioethanol production: 2nd generation 1) Pretreatment 2) Hydrolysis SSF 5- & 6-carbon sugars 3) Fermentation 4) Distillation & evaporation Bioethanol

The lignocellulose is composed of carbohydrate polymers: **cellulose**, **hemicelluloses and pectin,** and a polyphenol polymer: **lignin**.





Polysaccharides presents in lignocellulose

We Cellulose is the main component of the primary cell wall of plants. It is a polysaccharide consisting of a linear chain of β -1,4-linked D-glucose units.



Hemicelluloses: Xylan, the major component of hemicelluloses, is composed of a linear chain of Dxylopyranoses linked by $\beta(1\rightarrow 4)$ and is substituted by several types of residues: methyl glucuronate, Larabinofuranose and acetate.



Yang et al., 2009.



Pectin is composed of two basic structures: a "smooth" region and a "hairy" region. The "smooth" region (homogalacturonan) is a linear polymer of galacturonic acid residues. The "hairy" region is more complex, containing xylogalacturonan and rhamnogalacturonans.



Scheller et al., 2007





Eucalyptus and Lenga residues in Chile

Eucalyptus is the second most abundant lignocellulosic material in Chile; equals to a 23 % of the total forest plantations in this country.

✓Lenga is a Chilean native tree that grows preferably in the extreme south of the country and represents 26.5% of native forests.

✓The forest plantations are concentrated in certain regions of Chile:✓38.1% of them are located in the Maule Region and 62.8% (mainly native forest) in the Los Lagos and Aysén (General Carlos Ibáñez del Campo) Regions.





- Different pretreatment strategies have been developed throughout the years for lignocelluloses, including physical, biological, chemical and physicochemical processes.
- Each of them is associated with some disadvantages:
- Physical treatments, such as steam explosion is energy-demanding.
- Biological processing methods, such as lignin degradation by fungi necessitate long times to be effective.
- Chemical methods, such as dilute acid hydrolysis, produces toxic products.





Ionic Liquids "Green Solvents"

- ♦ Ionic liquids (ILs) are organic salts able to melt under 100°C.
- Excellent physical characteristics such as the ability to dissolve polar and non-polar organic and inorganic materials, as well as polymers.
- The use of ILs for extraction of cellulose from wood avoids the use of toxic and hazardous chemicals, and can be carried out under mild conditions.







Saccharification and fermentation

After the pretreatment in the bioethanol production process, the saccharification and fermentation steps can be carried out via different configurations:

- a) Separate Hydrolysis and Fermentation (SHF), a process in which hydrolysis of polysaccharides and the fermentation of monosaccharides is performed separately.
- b) Simultaneous Saccharification and Fermentation (SSF), a process in which polysaccharides hydrolysis and fermentation are carried out in one container.
- c) Consolidated BioProcessing (CBP), a process in which the enzymes are produced by the fermenting organisms.
- d) Simultaneous Saccharification and Co-Fermentation of hexoses and pentoses (SSCF).





Objective

The aim of the present work is to study the effect of the use of different process configurations for the saccharification and fermentation steps (SSF and SHF).





Nothofagus pumilio (LENGA)

✓ Residual Lenga (about 40-60 years of age) from a sawmill located in the Santa Alicia, Tierra del Fuego (XII región).

Methyle Chip size: 1-2 mm high, 1-3 mm wide and 5-7 mm long.

> Lenga was dried at 80 $^{\circ}$ C overnight prior to pretreatments.





Hammermill



Tamiz





Composition of *Nothofagus pumilio* lignocellulose.

Table 1: Carbohydrate content (mg/g of dry mass) in Lenga. Monosaccharides' content analyzed by the acid methanolysis method.



Monosaccharides	Fresh Lenga [mg/g dry mass]
Arabinose	6.58
Fructose	0.45
Galactose	9.37
Galacturonic acid	16.89
Glucose	434.02
Glucuronic acid	3.64
Mannose	4.06
Rhamnose	4.43
Xylose	146.78
Total	643.76





Reducing sugar quantitation by the dinitrosalicylic colorimetric method



This method involves the oxidation of the aldehyde functional group present; simultaneously DNS is reduced to 3-amino,5-nitrosalicylic acid (Bailey et al., 1992).

The monosaccharides profiles were determined by Gas Chromatography (GC)





UNIVERSIDAD DE CHILE

Ionic Liquid	Temperature [°C]	Time [min]	Sugar yield [wt-%]
Without pretreatment			8.5
[EMIM ⁺][Cl ⁻]	150	60	36.5
[EMIM ⁺][Cl ⁻]	150	30	51.9





 Table 3. Influence of different biomass-IL ratios and pretreatment times on the release of sugars

 from Lenga.

Biomass/IL ratio [wt:wt]	Time [min]	Sugar	Sugar productivity [g/g IL/h]	
4.2	45	Glucose		0.393
1:3 15	Total reducing sugars		0.595	
1:3 30	Glucose		0.198	
	Total reducing sugars		0.305	
		Glucose		0.259
1:5 15	Total reducing sugars		0.375	
		Glucose		0.065
1:10	30	Total reducing sugars		0.087

The best condition was pretreatments with [EMIM⁺][Cl⁻] at 150°C, a 1:3 biomass-IL loading ratio and an exposure time of 15 min.





Experimental strategy for Simultaneous Saccharification and Fermentation (SSF).



<u>Glucose and ethanol were quantified</u> by HPLC (Aminex HPX-87H -0,005 M H₂SO₄: 0,6 mL/min:45° C).







Figure 1. The effect of the different fermentation and saccharification processes (SHF and SSF) on ethanol production from Lenga. Ethanol production in SHF from Lenga is shown in squares and pretreated Lenga is shown in circle; Ethanol production in SSF from Lenga is shown in up triangles and pretreated Lenga is shown in down triangles.





Table 4: The yield of ethanol production from Lenga for different fermentation and saccharificationprocesses (SHF and SSF).

Pretreatment	Ethanol yield [g ethanol/g glucose]	Percentage relative to theoretical yield (wt-%)
Theoretical yield	0.510	100.0
Without pretreatment/SHF*	0.020	3.92
Pretreatment with [EMIM ⁺][Cl ⁻]/ SHF*	0.134	26.3
Without pretreatment/SFF*	0.017	3.33
Pretreatment with [EMIM ⁺][Cl ⁻]/ SSF**	0.173	33.9

(*) Fermentation for 4 hours; (**) fermentation for 24 hours.





Eucalyptus globulus

Mesidual Eucalyptus (15 years of age) from a sawmill located in the V Region.

✓ Chip size: 0.5-1 mm wide, 0.5-1 mm high and 10-20 mm long.

> Eucalyptus was dried at 65 $^{\circ}$ C for 18 hours.







Composition of *Eucalyptus globulus* lignocellulose.



Table 5: Carbohydrate content (mg/g of dry mass) inEucalyptus globulus. Monosaccharides' content analyzedby the acid methanolysis method.

Monosaccharide	Fresh sample [mg/g of fresh sample]
Arabinose	4.14
Fructose	0.80
Galactose	13.30
Galacturonic acid	13.67
Glucuronic acid	2.54
4-O-Me-Glucuronic acid	13.15
Mannose	7.29
Rhamnose	5.34
Xylose	146.56
Glucose	427.55







Figure 2. **Effect of different Ionic liquids on glucose liberation from Eucalyptus. A)** Eucalyptus without pretreatment is shown in squares; pretreated with [EMIM+][Cl-] is shown in circles and pretreated with [EMIM+][Oac-] is shown in up triangles. **B)** Percentage of glucose liberated from Eucalyptus.





Experimental strategy for Simultaneous Saccharification and Fermentation (SSF).







UNIVERSIDAD DE CHILE



Eucalyptus residues without pretreatment is shown in squares. Eucalyptus pretreated with [EMIM+][OAc-]/ fibers washed 2 times is shown in circles, and Eucalyptus pretreated with [EMIM+][OAc-]/ fibers washed 6 times is shown in triangles. Eucalyptus residues were pretreated with [EMIM+][OAc-] at 150°C, for 30 min, using a 1:3 ratio (wt RL/wt IL).





Table 6: Effect of the number of washing cycles on the yield of ethanol production using SimultaneousSaccharification and Fermentation (SSF) of Eucalyptus lignocellulose.

Pretreatment	Ethanol yield	Percentage relative to
	[g ethanol/g glucose]	theoretical yield (wt-%)
Theoretical yield	0.510	100.0
Without pretreatment	0.002	0.48
Pretreatment with [EMIM ⁺][OAc ⁻]/	0.083	16.5
fibers washed two times		
Pretreatment with [EMIM ⁺][OAc ⁻]/	0.194	38.0
fibers washed six times		



 $(^{1})$



Table 7. Composition of monosaccharides in fresh and processed *Eucalyptus globulus* samples afterfermentation.

	Fresh sample	Processed sample	
Monosaccharide	[mg/g of fresh sample]	[mg/g of processed sample] (¹)	
Arabinose	4.14	4.55	
4-O-Me-Glucuronic acid	13.15	12.15	
Rhamnose	5.34	2.55	
Xylose	146.56	107.24	
Glucose	427.55	183.89	

Celluclast; endo and exo-βglucanase supplied with βglucosidase from Sigma.

Celluclast has residual xylanase activity.

Calculated by gram of remaining mass after fermentation.





Figure 4: Fermentation of glucose and xylose with S. cerevisiae Ethanol Red®. Ethanol production from glucose is shown in squares and xylose is shown in circles. Fermentation of 9 g/L of carbohydrate for 48 hours at 40°C.





Conclusions and outlook

- The use of [EMIM+][Cl-] and [EMIM+][OAc-] as "structure-disruptive" solvents in the pretreatment of Lenga and Eucalyptus residues was performed.
- The combination of pretreatment with ionic liquid and a SSF process has high potential for bioethanol production from Lenga and Eucalyptus residues.
- Further improvements are still possible by optimization of some operational conditions and the recycling of ILs.
- For saccharification, we will study the effect of the combination of cellulases with xilanases under different incubation periods, temperatures, and pHs.
- For fermentation, we will search for microorganisms that are able to ferment glucose and xylose.



ARTICLE IN PRESS

Industrial Crops and Products xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Second generation bioethanol from *Eucalyptus globulus Labill* and *Nothofagus pumilio*: Ionic liquid pretreatment boosts the yields

María Elena Lienqueo^{a,*}, María Cristina Ravanal^a, Ricardo Pezoa-Conte^b, Victoria Cortínez^a, Loreto Martinez^a, Tomas Niklitschek^a, Oriana Salazar^a, René Carmona^c, Alejandro García^c, Sari Hyvärinen^b, Päivi Mäki-Arvela^b, Jyri-Pekka Mikkola^{b,d}

^a Centre for Biotechnology and Bioengineering, Universidad de Chile, Beauchef 850, Santiago, Chile

^b Industrial Chemistry and Reaction Engineering, Johan Gadolin Process Chemistry Centre, Abo Akademi University, Turku, Finland

^c Department of Wood Engineering and Their Biomaterials, Faculty of Forest Sciences and Nature Conservation, Universidad de Chile, Santa Rosa 11,315 La Pintana, Santiago, Chile

^d Technical Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, Chemical-Biological Centre, Umeå University, Sweden





Co-researchers















Acknowledgments

This research was supported by a grant from **CONICYT, Project AKA-ERNC 0009** and the Centre for Biotechnology and Bioengineering (CeBiB) **FB-0001**.





UNIVERSIDAD DE CHILE

Thanks for your attention!!